

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/902,222	07/09/2001	Rand Capp	RCAPP.0001P 7624		
7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER		
R. Scott Weide			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
Weide & Assoc	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
330 S. 3rd Stree		3725			
Las Vegas, NV 89101			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	· 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	i No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons		09/902,222	2	CAPP, RAND					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-				
		Mark Rose		3725					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
<i>'</i>	NEX Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-19</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🛛	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in Plate Sheet. 27 CFR 4.78. 									
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		4)		•				

Application/Control Number: 09/902,222

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-19 are allowed.

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1,3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nejat-Bina (N) in view of either Europe or Virgilio et al. N discloses the basic apparatus including the use of a food processor having a helical mixing blade without heating means. This requires a two step operation to mix/shred material and heat it. Europe and Virgilio et al both solve this problem by providing similar apparatus to perform these operations simultaneously. In order to perform the operations simultaneously, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify N by providing heating means within the processor, taught to be desirable by both Europe and Virgilio et al. This is the heart of the invention. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious design choices only as they solve no stated problems. For example, the particular control system used would have been a design choice only once the use of a control system was known as in N.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR